

To whom it may concern

When reviewing the planning submission made, as well as looking at the policy and legal elements, I would like to urge the committee to consider all the history, people's views, comments and objections as per the planning portal and see how much we (as a neighbourhood) have suffered over the years. Personally, I do feel that everyone has a right to make changes to their property, but not like this, it's extremely out of character for the street scene and will set a precedent which cannot then have the clocks turned back.

In addition to those comments and objections, I would like to draw the below to your attention;

The chestnut tree should be replanted with a TPO and give us all back our happiness in our homes. When the TPO was lifted off chestnut tree then our life's changed. Development plans, council letter started rolling in. Firstly a house was tried to be built 32 Fishery Lane, then planning for a second house in the driveway and now 1.8 metre gates.

██████ at ██████ if the installation of the gates goes ahead will have her right of access taken away. It's not acceptable.

We, as a family, live in a large building with a flat roof. Which I am sure you can imagine requires for the guttering to be cleaned out every year to prevent the water causing damage to my property and also for it spilling out and over to my neighbours land. If the gates go ahead, I will no longer be able to access that side of my property to do this and other maintenance works. This along with a 1.8m feature alongside the gates (otherwise the height of the gate is pointless, as access could be gained around the sides unless they match the height of the gates) will prevent me making any future alterations to the side of my home.

If the installation of the security gates goes ahead this will block my kitchen window of sunlight/natural light and a view to the local green. It will also be a hinderance for my driveway. We will find it hard to use our light commercial vehicles for access. We are also concerned for our privacy rights. Would you want delivery drivers or visitors looking directly into your kitchen window whilst waiting for the gates to be opened? Our Kitchen, like for many people is a central hub to our house and above that are bedroom windows. Delivery people and visitors will have no choice but to linger outside our driveway if these security gates are erected whilst they are waiting to be allowed access in to the driveway.

One of the responses in relation to the "amenity value" of the local green which we have benefited for years looking out on to from our kitchen, was that this had "no value". I would challenge this, not only for the fact that this is the only area of green space along this roadway, but with the government focus

on bio-diversity net-gain, surely this has a massive value. We are not afforded the luxury of a large garden, but a courtyard, so that area is our "garden". Additionally, if this were a social housing property and that land space was owned by them, this amenity land would be seen under the People and Community Standard as our "connection to nature". By agreeing to the installation of these security gates you will be removing our connection to nature.

Lastly, [REDACTED] at [REDACTED] is suffering with stage 3 dementia. Please don't pass the gates and block her sunlight and view from her window. She is suffering enough without the extra worry.

Thank you for taking the time to read and consider my points.

Kind regards

Mark Colborne

[REDACTED]